06-30 - 00

526 Rec'd PCT/PTO 29 JUN 2000 115

PCT Applicant's Guide - Volume II - National Chapter - US

Annex US.II, page 1

Express Mail No.

PRADEMAR EL634501798US U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER FORM PTO-13 (REV 10-95) TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES 15675.P321 DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US) U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5) CONCERNING A FILING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371 582 PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. December 31, 1997 December 30, 1998 PCT/FR98/02918 TITLE OF INVENTION a method and apparatus for processing confidential codes - UTILITY APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US CLAUDE MEGGLE Applicant herewith submits to the United States Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the following items and other information: This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371. This express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b)) and PCT articles 22 and 39(1). A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date. 90 A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)). 181 b.
 has been transmitted by the International Bureau. m 141 c. is not required, as the application was filed in the United States Receiving Office (RO/US). A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)). Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)). a.

is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau). 0 b. have been transmitted by the International Bureau. have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired. d. a have not been made and will not be made. m A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)). An eath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)). 10. A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)). Items 11, to 16, below concern document(s) or information included: 11. An Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. 12. An assignment document for recording. A separate cover sheet in compliance with 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included. 13. A FIRST preliminary amendment. A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT preliminary amendment. A subsequent specification. 15. A change of power of attorney and/or address letter. 16 X Other items or information: preliminary exam report w/o amendment; copy of forms PCT/IB 301 & 304; copy of request of filing; priority request; formal drawings submittal

U.S. APPLIC 1000 / 1	582797	TIONAL APPLICATION NO. PCT/FR98/02918		ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 15675.P321			
17. X The follo	wing fees are submitted:			CA	LCULATIONS	FOR PTO USE ONLY	
BASIC NATIO	ONAL FEE (37 CFR 1	.492 (a) (1) - (5)):	i	_			
	tional preliminary examinat						
	al search fee (37 CFR 1.445 al Search Report not prepare		\$970.00				
	eliminary examination fee e ernational Search Report pr	(37CFR1.482)not paid to repared by the EPO or JPO	. \$840.00				
		(37 CFR 1.482) not paid to U O (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2))					
		paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.48) PCT Article 33(1)-(4)					
		paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.48) Article 33(1)-(4)	²⁾ \$96.00				
and an claims s	•	IATE BASIC FEE AM		\$	840.00		
Surcharge of \$130.	.00 for furnishing the oath	or declaration later than	20 30	s			
	rliest claimed priority date						
CLAIMS	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE				
Total claims	18 - 20 =	0	X \$18.00	\$	0.00		
Independent claims		0	X \$78.00	\$	0.00		
MULTIPLE DE	PENDENT CLAIM(S) (if		+ \$260.00	\$	260.00		
PU		OF ABOVE CALCULA		\$	1100.00		
		applicable. Verified Small E	ntity Statement	\$			
must also by filed	(Note 37 CFR 1.9, 1.27, 1		TOTAL =	\$	1100.00		
Drimossing foo of t	\$120.00 for furnishing the	English translation later than		- \$ - \$	1100.00		
	arliest claimed priority date			\$			
	anest elames priority and	TOTAL NATIO	NAL FEE =	\$	1100.00		
	ne enclosed assignment (37	CFR 1.21(h)). The assignme		\$			
		37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 p		Φ			
in .		TOTAL FEES EN	CLOSED =	\$	1100.00		
C				\$	Amount to be: refunded	\$	
			ŀ		charged	\$	
b. Please c	tin the amount of \$ charge my Deposit Account cate copy of this sheet is en	t No in the am			to cover the ab	ove fees.	
c. \(\infty\) The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 022666 A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.							
NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.							
	ESPONDENCE TO:				6 1		
SEAD ALL CORRE	SI ONDENCE TO.			SIG	NIA TO IDE		
Blakely, Sc	okoloff, Taylor & Z	afman LLP		SIG	NA PORE	1	
12400 Wilshire Blvd. 7th Floor					Eric S. Hyman 4		
	es, CA 90025-1026			NA	ME		
				REG	30,139 SISTRATION NUMBI	ER	

1.0

15

20

25

30

35

09 / 582797 **526 Rec'd PCT/PTC 29** JUN 2000

A METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PROCESSING CONFIDENTIAL CODES

The invention relates to the field of code-using apparatuses and of methods for processing codes. More precisely, the invention relates to the field of systems having confidential access codes, in particular of apparatuses and methods enabling access to certain operations to be made secure, such as transactions, and in particular monetary transactions.

Numerous security functions make use of a confidential code. This code is numerical and typically 2 to 12 digits long, and it is associated with an identity profile of the holder authorized to hold the code, enabling the holder to make use of certain protected functions, and it is only knowledge of the code that enables those functions to be unlocked.

Generalizing this means of authentication, in particular combining a code and a microprocessor card, has led to the development of attacks during which the holder of the code, under threat, is made to reveal the code.

Solutions have been sought in an attempt to avoid such attacks. Thus, the document "Alert pin for personal banking terminals" (IBM Disclosure Bulletin, Vol. 36, No. 5, pp. 309-312) describes a method and apparatus for processing confidential codes that uses an emergency code to enable an alert to be given of fraudulent use without warning the attacker.

An object of the invention is to simplify that type of apparatus and method, while minimizing the risks run by the holder of a code when subjected to such an attack, and while maintaining protection of the functions that are to be protected.

The invention thus proposes a method of processing confidential codes in a system having secure functions, the method comprising the steps consisting in:

- receiving a code;

- verifying a first entitlement for accessing a first function, and as determined by a first code;
- authorizing access to the first function if the first entitlement is recognized; and
- if the first entitlement is not recognized, using the code to verify a second entitlement as determined by a second code that is different from the first code, to trigger at least one second function without revealing the fact that the code does not make it possible to obtain the first entitlement;

the method being characterized in that the step of verifying the second entitlement comprises the operations consisting in: obtaining a new code from the first code by means of a second transformation that is the inverse of a first simple transformation that enables the holder of the first code to obtain the second code from the first code; and testing the new code by performing again the step of verifying the first entitlement.

By means of the invention, the victim can reveal a second code to the attacker: by means of the method of the invention, this second code is different from a first code which gives entitlement to access a first function; there is thus no access to the first function which remains protected; however the second code can give entitlement to trigger a second function that can act as a decoy to divert the aggressor away from attempting to access the first function. The aggressor who has been diverted away will not seek to apply further stress to the victim, so the risks run by the victim are consequently reduced. Nevertheless, this method remains simple to implement since the step of verifying the first entitlement is common both to the test which is applied to the code as entered directly into the system having secure functions, and to the test which is applied to the code as obtained after the second transformation.

Advantageously, the first function of the method of the invention is a bank transaction.

5

10

15

20

25

30

10

15

20

25

30

35

Advantageously, the steps of the method of the invention consisting in verifying the first and second entitlements, make use of a microprocessor card.

Advantageously, said first simple transformation of the method of the invention is performed by a unit shift of one character of the first code.

Advantageously, the method of the invention further comprises a disabling step if the step which consists in verifying whether the first entitlement has been performed more than a determined number of times without success.

Advantageously, the second function of the method of the invention consists in displaying a message selected randomly from a plurality of messages stating that access to the first function is not possible, but without specifying that the code is not the right code for obtaining the first entitlement.

Advantageously, the second simple transformation of the method of the invention is itself a function of parameters that are accessible on the microprocessor card.

In another aspect, the invention provides apparatus for controlling access to secure functions by means of a confidential code.

Advantageously, the apparatus comprises:

- means for receiving a code;
- means for using said code to verify a first entitlement for accessing a first function, and as determined by a first code;
- means for authorizing access to the first function if the entitlement is recognized; and
- if the first function is refused, means for using the code to verify a second entitlement as determined by a second code which is different from the first code in order to trigger at least one second function without revealing the fact that the code does not enable the first entitlement to be obtained:

and it is characterized in that the means for verifying the second entitlement perform operations consisting in obtaining a new code from the first code by means of a second transformation that is the inverse of a first simple transformation that enables the holder of the first code to obtain the second code from the first code, and executing again the step of verifying the first entitlement in order to test the new code.

Advantageously, the apparatus of the invention is a bank card terminal.

Advantageously, the apparatus of the invention is used to make a bank transaction secure.

Advantageously, the steps which consist in verifying the first and second entitlements make use of a digitally-recorded user profile.

Advantageously, the means of the apparatus of the invention for verifying the first and second entitlements make use of a microprocessor card.

Advantageously, said simple transformation of the apparatus of the invention is performed by a unit shift of one character of the first code.

Advantageously, the apparatus of the invention further comprises disabling means that are implemented if the first entitlement has been tested more than a determined number of times without success.

Advantageously, the second function of the apparatus of the invention is performed by means which display a message selected randomly from a plurality of messages stating that access to the first function is not possible, but without specifying that the code is not the right code for obtaining the first entitlement.

Advantageously, the second simple transformation of the apparatus of the invention is a function of parameters accessible on the microprocessor card.

The invention will be better understood on reading the following detailed description and from the accompanying drawings, in which:

25

30

35

20

5

10

- Figure 1 is a block diagram of the main unit making up a particular apparatus for implementing the invention: and

- Figure $\underline{2}$ is a flow chart summarizing the steps in an implementation of the method of the invention.

In a preferred but non-limiting embodiment of the apparatus of the invention, the apparatus is an automatic teller machine (ATM). As shown in Figure 1, it comprises in conventional manner a central processor unit (CPU) 1 which processes and exchanges information with a reader 2 for reading bank cards 10, and a keypad 3 (or any other interactive input device), to control a cash dispenser mechanism 4 and the bank card reader 2, and to cause messages to be displayed on a screen 5. The processing of information and the control of the mechanisms constituting the apparatus of the invention by means of the CPU 1 is based on interchanges with a memory unit 6.

A card 10 has its own microprocessor. This microprocessor corresponds to a profile of the holder authorized to hold a first code.

The holder also holds a second code which is used as an emergency code in the manner described below.

The user thus holds two codes. The first code is the user's usual confidential code giving entitlement to access a first function, and specifically in the example described herein, a monetary transaction of the ATM type.

The second code is an emergency code. A user under threat from an attacker can thus reveal this second code instead of revealing the confidential code.

This second code is easy to remember and it is obtained from the first code by a simple arithmetic transformation.

Preferably, the second code differs from the first code in one digit only, which digit is advantageously modified by only plus one or minus one relative to the digit in the same position in the first and second codes.

25

30

35

5

10

15

TONDEY, TOTOROLL

Also preferably, the apparatus of the invention is implemented using the following method which is descried with reference to Figure 2.

When a user wishes to obtain a first function 180, specifically cash to be dispensed from the apparatus of the invention, the user inserts the card 10 into the reader 2 and enters a code on the keypad 3.

The CPU 1 then starts a test procedure at 100, which procedure has the following successive steps.

The next step 105 is reading the user profile and clearing a count of the number of times the code has been entered.

A flag 110 is generated to indicate that the code has not yet been transformed.

There follows a step 115 in which the code is input.

The code is tested in a code testing step 120 to verify a first entitlement.

If the test 120 gives a negative result, then the code supplied to the apparatus of the invention does not correspond to the first code held by the user, i.e. does not correspond to the confidential code. The flag is tested at 130. If the flag shows that the code has not yet been transformed, the CPU 1 undertakes a test for a second entitlement and proceeds at 140 to derive a second transformation, which is the inverse of a first simple transformation enabling the holder of a first code to obtain a second code (an emergency code) on the basis of the first code.

A flag 145 is generated to show that the transformation 140 has been derived.

The code obtained by the derived transformation 140 is used to reproduce the step of verifying the first entitlement, with the code tester 120. If after transformation 140 the resulting code still does not correspond to the first code (the usual confidential code), then the code input at the start 100 is not the second code (the usualconfidential).

15

5

10

25

30

35

be a keying mistake by the user. The procedure continues by restarting the first flag test 130. However, this time, the flag test 130 detects that the second entitlement has already been tested (T=1). The procedure thus continues with wrong code processing 150 similar to that known to the person skilled in the art. More particularly, a test is made 190 on the number of times the code has been input. If this number reaches 3, for example, a disabling step 200 is performed to end the procedure at 210. If the number is less than 3, then the user is asked at 220 if the procedure is to be abandoned. If so, the procedure ends at 210, otherwise the code must be input again after the flag 110 has been reinitialized.

If the code test 120 gives a positive result, then a second flag test 160 is performed. If the flag shows that the code has not been transformed, then the user has access to the protected function 180 (e.g. cash is dispensed). If the flag shows that the code has already been transformed, then the code which has successfully passed the first entitlement test is a code that had previously been subjected to the derived transformation operation 140. The code input at the start 100 of the procedure was therefore the emergency code. The procedure then continues with a second function 170, and specifically an emergency transaction 170 which can be a decoy function.

In the presently described example, the second function 170 corresponds to an emergency transaction which can cover various solutions.

One solution can consist in causing a message to be displayed on the screen 3, which message is selected randomly from a plurality of messages stating that access to the first function 180 is not possible, but without revealing that the code supplied to the apparatus of the invention is not the right code for obtaining the first entitlement.

5

10

15

20

25

30

35

For example, the message could be of the type "transaction temporarily unavailable" or "insufficient credit" or indeed any other one of the messages commonly used to inform a user of a fault in an ATM or of a problem in the holder's account.

In another solution, the normal cash dispensing procedure is initiated, e.g. by requesting the amount desired, and then whether the user requires a receipt, but a breakdown is then simulated and the requested amount is not dispensed.

In another solution, a sum is indeed dispensed, but only some limited amount, e.g. the minimum that can be dispensed.

The second simple transformation 140 makes it possible to discover the first code (the usual confidential code) on the basis of the second code (the emergency code). Advantageously, this second simple transformation 140 is variable, e.g. as a function of parameters accessible on the microprocessor card. For example, for a particular banking organization, the second transformation 140 can consist in incrementing the second digit of the code by one, whereas for some other organization, the transformation can consist in decrementing the last digit by one. The second transformation 140, and in particular which way a digit is to be varied, can also depend on whether or not the number constituting the "bank code", the "branch code", etc. is odd or even.

Numerous other possibilities can be envisaged.

Another advantage of the invention in the form described above is that only one code needs to be tested by the microprocessor of the card 10. Cards 10 presently in use are therefore compatible with this embodiment of the invention and there is no need to change cards 10 already in circulation.

It will nevertheless be understood that it is possible to use cards 10 that enable mutually independent

10

15

first and second codes to be tested without performing the second transformation 140, and without going beyond the spirit of the invention.

It will also be understood that the above detailed description relates to ATM type apparatuses, but that the invention is equally applicable to terminals for payment by bank card and to any type of system having a secure function 180, for example certain computer systems, certain military or industrial sites, etc.

Instead of testing the code input in combination with the microprocessor card 10 in order to gain access to systems having a secure function 180, it is possible to envisage testing it in combination with the name of a user, or with any other part of a user profile.

The second function 170 described above is a decoy function simulating a misfunction of the system to be protected. In some cases, it could be envisaged that the second function 170 causes an alarm signal to be triggered, a defensive gas to be emitted, etc.

CLAIMS

5

10

15

20

30

35

1/ A method of processing confidential codes in a system having secure functions (180), the method comprising the steps consisting in:

- receiving a code;
- verifying a first entitlement for accessing a first function (180), and as determined by a first code;
- authorizing access to the first function (180) if the first entitlement is recognized; and
- if the first entitlement is not recognized, using the code to verify a second entitlement as determined by a second code that is different from the first code, to trigger at least one second function (170) without revealing the fact that the code does not make it possible to obtain the first entitlement;

the method being characterized in that the step of verifying the second entitlement comprises the operations consisting in: obtaining a new code from the first code by means of a second transformation (140) that is the inverse of a first simple transformation that enables the holder of the first code to obtain the second code from the first code; and testing the new code by performing again the step of verifying the first entitlement.

25 2/ A method according to claim 1, characterized by the fact that said first simple transformation is performed by a unit shift of one character of the first code.

3/ A method according to either preceding claim, characterized by the fact that the steps consists in verifying the first and second entitlements make use of digitally-recorded user profile.

4/ A method according to any preceding claim, characterized by the fact that the second function (170) consists in displaying a message selected randomly from a plurality of messages stating that access to the first

35

function (180) is not possible, without specifying that the code is not the right code for obtaining the first entitlement.

- 5 5/ A method according to any preceding claim, characterized by the fact that the first function (180) is a bank transaction.
- 6/ A method according to any preceding claim, characterized by the fact that it further comprises a disabling step (200) if the step that consists in verifying whether the first entitlement has been tested more than a determined number of times without success.
- 7/ A method according to any preceding claim, characterized by the fact that the steps consisting in verifying the first and second entitlements make use of a microprocessor card (10).
- 20 8/ A method according to claim 7, characterized by the fact that the second simple transformation (140) is itself a function of parameters that are accessible on the microprocessor card (10).
- 25 9/ Apparatus for controlling access to secure functions (180) by means of a code, the apparatus comprising:
 - means for receiving a code;
 - means for using said code to verify a first entitlement for accessing a first function (180), and as determined by a first code;
 - means for authorizing access to the first function (180) if the entitlement is recognized; and
 - if the first function (180) is refused, means for using the code to verify a second entitlement as determined by a second code which is different from the first code in order to trigger at least one second

10

15

30

35

function (170) without revealing the fact that the code does not enable the first entitlement to be obtained;

the apparatus being characterized in that the means for verifying the second entitlement perform operations consisting in obtaining a new code from the first code by means of a second transformation (140) that is the inverse of a first simple transformation that enables the holder of the first code to obtain the second code from the first code, and executing again the step of verifying the first entitlement in order to test the new code.

- 10/ Apparatus according to claim 9, characterized by the fact that said first simple transformation is performed by a unit shift of one character of the first code.
- 11/ Apparatus according to claim 9 or 10, characterized by the fact that it is used for making a banking transaction secure.
- 20 12/ Apparatus according to any one of claims 9 to 11, characterized by the fact that the second function (170) is performed by means which display a message selected randomly from a plurality of messages stating that access to the first function (180) is not possible, but without specifying that the code is not the right code for obtaining the first entitlement.
 - 13/ Apparatus according to any one of claims 9 to 12, characterized in that it constitutes a bank card terminal
 - 14/ Apparatus according to any one of claims 9 to 13, characterized in that it further comprises disabling means that are implemented if the first entitlement is tested more than a determined number of times without success.

15/ Apparatus according to any of claims 9 to 14, characterized by the fact that the means for verifying the first and second entitlements make use of a microprocessor card (10).

5

16/ Apparatus according to claim 15, characterized by the fact that the second simple transformation (140) is itself a function of parameters accessible on the

microprocessor card (10).

ABSTRACT

A METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PROCESSING CONFIDENTIAL CODES

- 5 A method of processing confidential codes in a system having secure functions (180), the method comprising the steps consisting in:
 - receiving a code;
 - verifying a first entitlement for accessing a first function (180), and as determined by a first code;
 - authorizing access to the first function (180) if the first entitlement is recognized; and
 - if the first entitlement is not recognized, using the code to verify a second entitlement as determined by a second code that is different from the first code, to trigger at least one second function (170) without revealing the fact that the code does not make it possible to obtain the first entitlement. Apparatus for implementing the method.

25

20


10

15

30


35

Translation of the title and the abstract as they were when originally filed by the Applicant. No account has been taken of any changes that may have been made subsequently by the PCT Authorities acting ex officio, e.g. under PCT Rules 37.2, 38.2, and/or 48.3.



FIG_1





FIG_2



Our ref .: 15675 - P321

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor. I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name,

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

A METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR PROCESSING CONFIDENTIAL CODES

the specification of which

09

kx actor/heretheretex was filed on JUNE 29, 2000 a Application Serial No. 09/582,797 which expression member exercises (if applicable)

hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I do not know and do not believe that the same was ever known or used in the United States of America before my invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application, that the same was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, and that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months prior to this application.

A acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in Caccordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a).

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 199, of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor(s) certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign Ap	Priority Claimed			
97 16786 (Number)	France (Country)	31.12.1997 (Day/Month/Year Filed)	XX Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a) which occurred between the filling date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filling date of this application.

(Application Serial No.)

DEC. 30, 1998 (Filing Date)

Pending

(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)

(Application Serial No.)

(Filing Date)

(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)

(Application Serial No.)

(Filing Date)

(Status - patented, pending, abandoned)

I hereby appoint BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, a firm including : Keith G. Askoff, Reg. No. 33,828; Aloysius T.C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33.474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr.; Reg. No. 25,831; Timothy R. Croll, Reg. No. 36,771; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Scott A. Griffin, Reg. No. 38,167; Stephen D. Gross, Reg. No. 31,020; David R. Halvorson, Reg. No. 33,395; Michael D. Hartogs, Reg. No. 36,547; Brian D. Hickman, Reg. No. 35,894; George W. Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Paul H. Hostmann, Reg. No. 36,167; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; Stephen L. King, Reg. No. 19,180; Joseph T. Lin, Reg. No. 38,225; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; James D. McFarland, Reg. No. 32,544; Anthony C. Murabito, Reg. No. 35,295; Kimberiey G. Nobles, Reg. No. 38,255; Ronald W. Reagin, Reg. No. 20,340; Kent R. Richardson, Reg. No. P-39,443; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. P-39,018; James C. Sheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Edward W. Scott IV, Reg. No. 36,000; Maria E. Sobrino, Reg. No. 31.639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25.128; Allan T. Sponseller, Reg. No. 38.318; John C. Stattler, Reg. No. 36,285; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; Ben J. Yorks, Reg. No. 33,609; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my attorneys; and William D. Davis, Reg. No. 38,428; Gary B. Goates, Reg. No. 35,159; Soyeon P. Laub, Reg. No. P-39,266; Thomas X. Li, Reg. No. 37,079; and Edwin A. Sloane, Reg. No. 34,728; my patent agents, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected herewith.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, Junder Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may epardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Full Name of First Inventor: MEGGLE Claud

Inventor's Signature:

Residence: 75014 Paris / France (City, State) Post Office Address: 104 boulevard Arago

Date: July 21, 2000

Citizenship: France (Country)